

Political Science 4501F/9714A
MULTILEVEL GOVERNANCE
SSC 4103
Mondays, 11:30-1:30

Robert Young
SSC 4223

e-mail: young@uwo.ca
phone: (519) 661-3662

The purpose of this course is to introduce students to multilevel governance; that is, public decision-making which involves governments at various levels and non-governmental agents as well.

We are interested in the forces conducive to this kind of decision-making structure, and in the general patterns and dynamics that it manifests. We will consider all levels of government, and cases from Canada, the United States, and Europe.

Evaluation

Students will do two class presentations on a reading (20%). They will write a book review (25%) and a short research paper (35%). Students will also be graded on the quantity and quality of class participation (20%). The book review will be due October 17th and the research paper will be due December 12th.

OUTLINE

All of the articles are available on line through the library's Summon search engine. Book chapters will be sent to students by e-mailed PDF.

Part I: Basic concepts

September 8: Multilevel governance: intergovernmental relations and governance

Hooghe, Liesbet, and Gary Marks. 2003. Unraveling the Central State, but How? Types of Multi-Level Governance. *American Political Science Review* 97, no. 2:233-243.

September 15: Supranationalism

George, Stephen. 2004. Multi-level Governance and the European Union. In Ian Bache and Matthew Flinders, eds., *Multi-level Governance* (Oxford: Oxford University Press), 107-26.

Harmes, Adam. 2006. Neoliberalism and multilevel governance. *Review of International Political Economy* 13, no. 5:725-749.

Tanzi, Vito. 2008. The Future of Fiscal Federalism. *European Journal of Political Economy* 24: 705-12.

September 22: Decentralization

Courchene, Thomas J. 2000. NAFTA, the information revolution, and Canada-US relations: an Ontario perspective. *The American Review of Canadian Studies* 30, no. 2:159.

Rodden, Jonathan. 2004. Comparative Federalism and Decentralization: On Meaning and Measurement. *Comparative Politics* 36, no. 4:481-500.

Eichenberger, Reiner, and Bruno S. Frey. 2002. Democratic Governance for a Globalized World. *Kyklos* 55, no. 2:265-287.

September 29: Groups and citizens

Rhodes, R.A.W. 1996. The new governance: Governing without government. *Political Studies* 44, no. 4:652-667.

Ansell, Chris, and Alison Gash. 2008. Collaborative Governance in Theory and Practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 18, no. 4:543-571.

*Jordan, Andrew, Rüdiger K. W. Wurzel, and Anthony Zito. 2005. The Rise of 'New' Policy Instruments in Comparative Perspective: Has Governance Eclipsed Government? *Political Studies* 53, no. 3:477-496.

Part II: Structures and dynamics

October 6: Horizontal intergovernmental competition

Tiebout, Charles M. 1956. A Pure Theory of Local Expenditures. *Journal of Political Economy* 64, no. 5:416-424.

Weingast, Barry R. 2009. Second generation fiscal federalism: The implications of fiscal incentives. *Journal of Urban Economics* 65, no. 3:279-293.

Harmes, Adam. 2007. The Political Economy of Open Federalism. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 40, no. 2:417-437.

*Noel, Alain. 1999. 'Is Decentralization Conservative? Federalism and the Contemporary Debate on the Canadian Welfare State.' In Robert Young, ed., *Stretching the Federation: the Art of the State in Canada* (Kingston: Institute of Intergovernmental Relations), 195-219.

October 20: Vertical intergovernmental competition

Breton, A. 1987. Towards a theory of competitive federalism. *European Journal of Political Economy* 3, no. 1-2:263-329 (sections).

Cairns, Alan C. 1979. The other crisis of Canadian federalism. *Canadian Public Administration* 22, no. 2:175-195.

Young, R. A., Philippe Faucher, and André Blais. 1984. The Concept of Province-Building: A Critique. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 17, no. 4:783-818.

October 27: Conceptions of Canadian federalism

Smiley, Donald V. 1964. Public Administration and Canadian Federalism. *Canadian Public Administration* 7, no. 3:371-88.

Cameron, David, and Richard Simeon. 2002. Intergovernmental Relations in Canada: The Emergence of Collaborative Federalism. *Publius* 32, no. 2:49-72.

Simmons, Julie M., and Peter Graefe. 2013. Assessing the Collaboration That Was "Collaborative Federalism" 1996-2006. *Canadian Political Science Review* 7, no. 1:25-36.

*Robert Young. 2006. 'Open Federalism and Canadian Municipalities,' in Keith G. Banting *et al.*, *Open Federalism: Interpretations, Significance* (Kingston: Institute of Intergovernmental Relations), 7-24.

November 3: Incorporating the local level

Ostrom, Vincent, Charles M. Tiebout, and Robert Warren. 1961. The Organization of Government in Metropolitan Areas: A Theoretical Inquiry. *American Political Science Review* 55, no. 4:831-842.

Lyons, W. E., and David Lowery. 1989. Governmental Fragmentation Versus Consolidation. *Public administration review* 49, no. 6:533-43.

Leo, Christopher. 2006. Deep Federalism: Respecting Community Difference in National Policy. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 39, no. 3:481-506.

*Kataoka, Serena and Warren Magnusson. 2011. 'Immigrant Settlement Policy in British Columbia.' In Erin Tolley and Robert Young, eds., *Immigrant Settlement Policy in Canadian Municipalities* (Montreal & Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press) 241-94.

November 10: Problems: co-ordination

Putnam, Robert D. 1988. Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games. *International Organization* 42, no. 3:427-460.

Horak, Martin. 2012. 'Success and Failure in Multilevel Governance in Toronto.' In Martin Horak and Robert Young, eds., *Sites of Governance: Multilevel Governance and Policy Making in Canada's Big Cities* (Montreal & Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press), 228-62.

November 17: Problems: accountability

Papadopoulos, Yannis. 2010. Accountability and Multi-level Governance: More Accountability, Less Democracy? *West European Politics* 33, no. 5:1030-1049.

Anderson, Cameron D. 2006. Economic Voting and Multilevel Governance: A Comparative Individual-Level Analysis. *American Journal of Political Science* 50, no. 2:449-449.

November 24: Issues: Political parties

Deschouwer, Kris. 2003. Political Parties in Multi-Layered Systems. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 10, no. 3:213-226.

Esselment, Anna L. 2010. Fighting Elections: Cross-Level Political Party Integration in Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 43, no. 4:871-892.

*Bolleyer, Nicole, and Evelyn Bytcek. 2009. Government Congruence and Intergovernmental Relations in Federal Systems. *Regional and Federal Studies* 19, no. 3:371-397.

December 1: Issues: Aboriginal peoples

Alcantara, Christopher, and Jen Nelles. 2014. Indigenous Peoples and the State in Settler Societies: Toward a More Robust Definition of Multilevel Governance. *Publius: The Journal of Federalism* 44, no. 1:183-204.

Andersen, Chris and Jenna Strachan. 2011. 'Urban Aboriginal Programming in a Coordination Vacuum: The Alberta (Dis)Advantage.' In Evelyn Peters, ed., *Urban Aboriginal Policy Making in Canadian Municipalities* (Montreal & Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press), 127-59

(Graduate) Statement of Academic Offences

Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following Web site:

http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/handbook/appeals/scholastic_discipline_grad.pdf